IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant: Rotkopf, Menahem Serial No.: 09/700,666

Filed: November 17, 2000

For: An Armor Piercing Projectile

Examiner: **Troy Chambers** Group Art Unit: 3641

Attorney

Docket: 26/370

ADDITIONAL TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

MAY 0 6 2003

Dear Sir:

GROUP 3600

Transmitted herewith in triplicate is an amended APPEAL BRIEF in this application, with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed on December 19, 2002. The APPEAL BRIEF has been amended in accordance with the communication from Michael J. Carone, Supervisory Patent Examiner, dated 4 March 2003. A copy of the communication is attached hereto. Additionally, attached hereto is a copy of the original TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF including the fees calculation. If the fees have not been deducted, please deduct them at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark M. Friedman

Autorney for Applicant

Registration No. 33,883

April 28, 2003

APR 3 0 2003 E

In re Applicant:	§	
Rotkopf, Menahem	§	
	§	
Serial No.: 09/700,666	§	
	§	
Filed: November 17, 2000	§	Group Art Unit: 3641
	§	
For: An Armor Piercing Projectile	§	Attorney
	§	Docket: 26/370
Examiner: Troy Chambers	§	

TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF

RECEIVED
MAY 0 6 2003
GROUP 3600

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith in triplicate is the APPEAL BRIEF in this application with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed on December 19, 2002.

The application is on behalf of other than a small entity X Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(c) the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is: other than a small entity \$ 320 \mathbf{X} Appeal Brief fee due \$ 320 Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 for the total number of months checked below: not small entity small entity \$ 55 \$ 110 one month \$ 400 two months \$ 200 three months \$ 920 \$ 460 \$ 1440 four months \$ 7200

If an additional extension of time is required please consider this a petition therefor.

The total fee due is:

Appeal brief \$ 320 Extension fee (if any) \$

TOTAL FEE DUE

\$ 320

Please charge Account No. 06-2140 the sum of \$ 320. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is attached.

If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No. 06-2140.

If any additional fee for claims is required, please charge Account No. 06-2140.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark M. Friedman Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 33,883

December 19, 2002



Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)		<i>_</i>
	09/700,666	ROTKOPF, MEI	ENACHEM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	\Box	_
	Troy Chambers	3641		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on 16 December 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS

WAY	BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. 🗀	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. 🗌	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. 🗀	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. 🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	Tthe brief includes the statement requiring dependent claims 2-11 and 15-18 to stand or fall together with independent claims 1 and 14. However, the applicant also presents arguments directed to the dependent claims. Only arguments directed toward the independent claims are required.

MAY 0 6 2003



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Menachem Rotkopf	26/370	7519
DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD		
RY DISPATCH		
	•	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Menachem Rotkopf	Menachem Rotkopf 26/370 EXAM EXAM

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

3 MAY 030

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.